

# ANIMAL CREMATORY



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI	·	(CI)
AIRS ID#: 0850146 DATE:	: <u>11-08-2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:50AN</u>	M	DEPART: <u>12:00</u>
FACILITY NAME: HUMA	ANE SOC TREAS COAST -AI	NIMAL CREMATO	RY	
FACILITY LOCATION:	4100 SW LEIGHTON FA	ARM AVE		
	PALM CITY 34990-562	23		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:CANDICE VEACH*PHONE:(772)600-3206Email:cveach@hstc1 orgMobile:(772)528-6164CONTACT NAME:SHARIE TURGEON*PHONE:(772)600-3207Email:sturgeon@hstc1.orgMobile:(772)600-3207ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:5/31/2012 / 5/31/2017///// (effective date)(end date)				
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION CO	PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRO</u>	DUCTORY MEETING			(check $\square$ only one how for each question)

1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each	5
	Brief Notes: Operator		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still CANDICE VEACH*?	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still SHARIE TURGEON*?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

#### Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – AnimalCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs,NG,tempM&R,opacM,130lbs/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check 🗹	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		box for each	question)
after Au	gust 30, 1989?	Xes Yes	No
	design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	y chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes	□No
	's recommended capacity: $\underline{130}$ $\Box$ lbs for batch unit $\boxtimes$ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3. Crematory un	it installed after February 1, 2007?	🛛 Yes	No
4. Date of last in			
	missions (VE) tests:		
	est performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	□No
b. Has a VE t	est been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
	of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing n? XA	Yes	No
d. Date of last	VE test: <u>8-29-2011</u>		
	test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	🛛 Yes	No
	lity demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? was the problem (if known)?	🛛 Yes	No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? <u>126</u> 🛛 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	T Yes	🖂No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	🛛 Yes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	🛛 Yes	LNo
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Operating capacity during test?	T Yes	□No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Yes	No
<ul> <li>d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> </ul>	∐ Yes	L.No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar		
If yes, what reason?	∐ Yes	⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	TYes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		
Wind direction - <u>N</u> Downwind odor level detected $\underline{1}$ Upwind odor level detected $\underline{1}$ Scale: 1-10	0 (worst)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		□No
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800 <sup>1</sup> □ 1,600 <sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?		No
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li></ul>		No
<ul> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	No
<ul> <li>(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)</li> <li>(4) Adjustments</li></ul>	Xes	□No □No
<ul> <li>(4) Adjustments</li></ul>		No
<ul> <li>(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> <li>(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> </ul>		No
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	V.	
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markingse. Was the crematory unit installed <b>after <math>2/1/07</math>?</b> If no, skip e.(1) – (3)		□No □No
<ul> <li>(1) Is the crematory unit instance after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) = (5)</li></ul>	atically	No
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opac exceeds 15% opacity ?	city	No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🛛 Yes	No
	(check 🗹	
	box for each	-
PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u>		r question)
1. If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the creater process begins in the primary chamber?		No
2. If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	7	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the creater		
process begins in the primary chamber?	Xes	No
	(check 🗹	only one
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	box for each	
		• ′
1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate		
are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	🗌 Yes	⊠No
If yes, what other materials?		
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics		
as certified by the manufacturer?	🕅 Yes	No
If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from u	ise? Xes	No

		(check 🗹 box for each	
PA	PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		question)
	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes Yes	No
2.	Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup,		
3	shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?		□No □No
5.	If no, skip a. – b.		
	a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?	Yes	No
	b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	🖾 Yes	No

## PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE	Ξ
---------------	---

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

### **Facility Section (continued)**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
Administrative Changes:		
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	s or Ves	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

Scott D. Trainor

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

#### 11-8-2012

Date of Inspection

11-8-2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Annual VE Test